UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 3-12-10243 MAG
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
Nicolas Perrett,	ONDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT APR 12 2012 NORTHER ARD WARD WARD WARD WARD WARD WARD WARD
Defendant.	RICHAR 12 2012
by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the	d on, 2012, the Coult was suggestime under the, 2012 and finds that the ends of golf ce served the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 of S.C. § d bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance work See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	uld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to example to example.	olex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	uld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, f due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
necessary for effective preparation See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	
AND GOOD CAUSE FOR IT IS SO ORDERED.	CONTINUANCE DOOR THEFTE F. R. CRIM P. 5, 1
DATED: 4/12/12	LAUREL BERUER United States Magistrate Judge
//	
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
Joi 2 Olondant	- respectively